

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,059	09/15/2006	Shimon Weiss	58086-235410 (2004-424-2)	7239
26694 7550 0128/2009 VENABLE ILP P.O. BOX 34385			EXAMINER	
			LU, FRANK WEI MIN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/593.059 WEISS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit FRANK W. LU 1634 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1634

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-10, drawn to a method for detecting the presence of an analyte in a solution.

Group II, claim 11, drawn to a method of making an analyte detection system which includes split enzyme biosensors.

Group III, claim 12, drawn to a method of splitting an enzyme into two functionally complementary portions with reconstituted enzyme activity.

Group IV, claim 13, drawn to a method of making a sensor for a target analyte.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I to IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. For example, detecting the presence of an analyte in a solution in claim 1 of Group I is not required for Group II while making an analyte detection system which includes split enzyme biosensors in Group II is not required for Group I.

Art Unit: 1634

Groups I and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. For example, detecting the presence of an analyte in a solution in claim 1 of Group I is not required for Group III while splitting an enzyme into two functionally complementary portions with reconstituted enzyme activity in Group III is not required for Group I.

Groups I and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. For example, detecting the presence of an analyte in a solution in claim 1 of Group I is not required for Group IV while making a sensor for a target analyte in Group IV is not required for Group I.

Groups II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. For example, step a) of claim 11 in Group II is not required for Group III while step a) claim 12 in Group III is not required for Group II.

Groups II and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. For example, step a) of claim 11 in Group II is not required for Group IV while step a) claim 13 in Group IV is not required for Group II.

Groups III and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. For example, step e) of claim 12 in Group III is not required for Group IV while step a) claim 13 in Group IV is not required for Group III.

Art Unit: 1634

3. Group I contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- (1) said target analyte is a protein (claims 2 and 3)
- (2) said target analyte is DNA or RNA (claim 2)
- (3) said target analyte is a lipid (claim 2)
- (4) said target analyte is a sugar (claim 2)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1 and 4-10.

Art Unit: 1634

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The species (1) to (4) do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features. For example, species (1) is not required for species (2) to (4), species (2) is not required for species (1), (3), and (4), species (3) is not required for species (1), (2), and (4), and species (4) is not required for species (1) to (3).

Group I further contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic
invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as
to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- (5) said fast and second recognition molecules are single chain antibody fragments or antibody fragments or full antibodies (claims 4 and 5)
- (6) said fast and second recognition molecules are DNA oligomers or DNA aptamers or PNA oligomers (claims 4 and 6)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1634

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 

§ 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-3 and 7-10.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The species (5) and (6) do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features. For example, species (5) is not required for species (6) while species (6) is not required for species (5).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

 Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30

Art Unit: 1634

(November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571)272-0746. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached on (571)272-0735.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Frank W Lu / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634 January 22, 2009